

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.inplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,553	06/20/2001	Lars-Peter Heineck	GR 98 P 1379 D	6319

7590

03/07/2003

LAURENCE A. GREENBERG P.O. Box 2480 Hollywood, FL 33022 EXAMINER
MONDT, JOHANNES P

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2826

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No.

Advisoms Action	09/885,553 HEINECK ET AL.		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
'N	Johannes P Mondt	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	E THIS APPLICATION IN CON avoid abandonment of this application at timely filed amendment which	DITION FOR ALLC cation. A proper re	WANCE. ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Ade event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706,07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dehave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Ission and the corresponding amount of the distantory period for reply originally set in distantory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate extensions of the appropriate extensions of the final Office action; or	See MPEP re extension fee ttension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c)			
(d) they present additional claims without cancer	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	ed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been con	sidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims to	$\operatorname{nt}(s)$ a) $igtiz$ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be entered low or appended.	d and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-8.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,	1/	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on		<i>V</i> //	miner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	NATHAN J. FL	YNN CEXAMINER
10. Other:	S	UPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CEN	TER 2800

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1, and thereby all pending claims, have been substantially amended by proposed Amendment B, thereby requiring further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, Amendment B does not place the application in better form for appeal as no pending issues have been removed so as to cast any of the claimed matter in allowable form..